CITY OF ORONO VARIANCE APPLICATION

THE CALL	Street Address: 2750 Kelley Parkway Orono, MN 55356 Main: 952-249-4600 fax: 952-249-4616 <i>Mailing Address:</i> P.O. Box 66 Crystal Bay, MN 55323-0066	Application # //6 - 3852 Date Received: 7-/8-//6 Staff :
This application form must be application. Incomplete app PROPERTY INFORMATION: Site Address: 1380 Property Identification Number Date Property Acquired (mont Zoning District:	Rest Point Road Oro	ning Commission Agendas. 7 - 23-33-0007
APPLICANT INFORMATION: Name: Phone: Complete Address: City, State & ZIP Email:	(Complete legal names and marital stat Alternate Phone Fax:	
Name: feler Lang Phone 612-382-69 Complete Address: 138 City, State & ZIP 0 Email: 0eter 0 pho DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST	32 : 612.708.2245Alterhate Phone D Rest Point Road OND MN 55364 CAPHER.LOM Fax:	(nerried)
		RECEIVED

Packet Last Updated: January 2015

Page 11

JUL 182018

3852

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS:

All of the following information must be submitted by the application deadline date in order for your application to be processed.

Enclosed	Not Applicable		
		Variance Application Fee	
		Escrow Agreement, signed and Fee	
		Pre-Application Form	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
jak –		Variance Application Form	
		Practical Difficulties Form	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>A</u>		Certified Property Owners List	
JZ.		Survey (meeting ALL requirements)	
X2	D	Proposed Plans	
	X	Hardcover Calculations	
	X	Septic System Site Evaluation Report	
0		Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) Permit or	
		Documentation from MCWD stating no permit is required	
X		Adjacent Property Owners Acknowledgement	_
× ×		Data Privacy Advisory Form	

APPLICANT AND/OR OWNER:

- · Agree to provide all information required or requested by the Planning Department,
- Agree to pay additional fees (staff time not covered in the original fee payment) and/or consultant expenses
 incurred in review of this application, and
- Certify that the information supplied is true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge. The applicant and
 owner recognize that they are solely responsible for submitting a complete application being aware
 that upon failure to do so, the staff has no alternative but to reject it until it is complete or to
 recommend the request for denial of the request regardless of its potential merit.
- Acknowledge the Escrow Agreement is completed and signed.
- The Owner hereby acknowledges and agrees to this application and further authorizes reasonable entry onto the property by City Staff, consultants, agents, Commission and Council Members for purposes of investigation and verification of this request.
- Applicant and/or Owner acknowledge they must be present at all scheduled review meetings of the Planning Commission and Council. If an applicant and/or owner is unable to attend a scheduled meeting, please make arrangements to have an authorized representative attend in place of the applicant/owner and advise the City Planner assigned to your project.

Applicant's Signature:	Jule I tanker	Date:	7.15.16
Applicant's Signature:	Penny loger	Date:	7-15-16
Owner's Signature:	Jet A tendre	Date:	7-15-16
Owner's Signature:	Penny liger	_ Date:	7-15-16
	0 0		

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO

Packet Last Updated: January 2015

Page 12



ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS' ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM

I/WE HAVE REVIEWED THE TWO PAGE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR THE PROPOSED IMPROVMENT OR PROPOSED USE OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1380 REST POINT ROAD I/WE UNDERSTAND THAT IN EXECUTING THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, I/WE AM (ARE) NOT ASKED TO DECLARE APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF THE PROPERTY OR USE BUT MERELY TO CONFIRM FOR THE CITY COUNCIL THA I (WE) AM (ARE) AWARE OF THE IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND THAT THE PROPOSED NEIGHBOR'S PROJECT OF USE REQUIRES COUNCIL APPROVAL.

1) PRINT NAMES DAULD RAHN	DATE 6-74-16
ADDRESS 1385 Rest Point Rd 000	NG
SIGNATURES Dad William	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2) PRINT NAMES JOCH Kahn	DATE 6-24-16
ADDRESS 1385 REST POINT Rd Orono	
SIGNATURES Jod Ral	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3) PRINT HAMES PATRICK WALSH	DATE 6 - 24-16
ADDRESS 1390 REST PT Rd.	
SIGNATURES Catrick J. Walsh	
4) PRINT NAMES Nancy Walsh	DATE 6-24-16
ADDRESS 1390 Rest Point Rd.	
SIGNATURES Karry S. Walsh	

NOTE: IF I/WE HAVE ANY INFORMATIONS THAT MAY ASSIST THE CITY IN THE REVIEW OF THIS LAND USE APPLICATION, I/WE MAY SUBMIT COMMENTS To the building & Zoning Office at least 10 days prior to the scheduled meeting date.

RECEIVED

JUL 181 7

CITY OF ORC.

6/24/16, 3:32 PM

ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS' ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM

I/WE HAVE REVIEWED THE TWO PAGE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR THE PROPOSED IMPROVMENT OR PROPOSED USE OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1380 REST POINT ROAD I/WE UNDERSTAND THAT IN EXECUTING THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, I/WE AM (ARE) NOT ASKED TO DECLARE APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF THE PROPERTY OR USE BUT MERELY TO CONFIRM FOR THE CITY COUNCIL THA I (WE) AM (ARE) AWARE OF THE IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND THAT THE PROPOSED MEIGHBOR'S PROJECT OF USE REQUIRES COUNCIL APPROVAL.

Kalha at lan formalas Zi is
1) PRINT NAMES IVITTINEYO CONV CIDISSIE DATE -6-16
ADDRESS 1374 REST POTAL Rd. MUMA MASS364
SIGNATURES TYTEL MONGETER Gray D. Groupst
2) PRENT NAMES LYWALE GERICCHER DATE 778/16
ADDRESS 1375 RUST POINT Rd
SIGNATURES MIMIL MARINER
3) PRINT NAMES JANET BREDESON FORK DATE 7/11/2016
ADDRESS 1410 BEST POINT ROAD
SIGNATURES_ Out Brede De
4) PRINT NAMESDATE
ADDRESS
SIGNATURES

NOTE: IF I/WE HAVE ANY INFORMATIONS THAT MAY ASSIST THE CITY IN THE REVIEW OF THIS LAND USE APPLICATION, I/WE MAY SUBMIT COMMENTS To the Building & Zoning Office at least 10 days prior to the scheduled meeting date.

3852

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO

6/24/16, 3:32 PM

Practical Difficulties Documentation

Form (responses)

1. Yes. We are planning to use the property in a reasonable manner. Our request for a height variance is to afford us better privacy than that of what we currently have and what is desperately needed.

2. Unique circumstances:

Most lots down in the area are unique in such that Rest Point Road is a narrow one lane road. Lots have small narrow side yards and street setbacks. Our neighbors house in which we are asking for side fence height variance for the first 30 feet is only 8 feet from the property line. The picket fence along the street is only 23 to 30 inches from the street.

3. The variances being asked for will not alter the essential character of the locality. There are other non conforming fences on our road of the same nature and size that already exist. What is being proposed is generally consistent with those existing fences that in part reflect the current character of the area and will not impact other neighbors.

- Our neighbor directly across the street has similar lap fence.

- Our direct uphill neighbor just replaced their cedar lap fence with a new one.

- Neighbor at end of Rest Point Road has same style of fence as well.

- 4. N/A
- 5. N/A
- 6. N/A
- 7. N/A

8. Yes. Special conditions are peculiar to this property. Narrow lots and

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016 7/14/16, 12:24 PM

3852

need of additional height proves necessary for greater privacy.

9. Like conditions have applied in the past and a variance was granted for our neighbor directly across the street. (1996 Copy of Variance)

10. Yes. This additional height for the fences is necessary for the preservation and right for privacy and personal enjoyment of our property.

11. This variance will not in any way impair health, safety, comfort, morals or in any other respect be contrary to the intent of the zoning code. There is an existing 10 to 12 foot arborvitae hedge directly behind both existing fences on the property. Current fence regulations generally apply to preserve openness and visibility in R areas. Our requests will not impede those goals.

12. In this matter we are not circumventing the City's authority, but working with them to alleviate the unique circumstances surrounding these two nonconforming fences.

My wife and I purchased our home in August 2004 and moved in in March 2005. We were never told that these two fences were nonconforming at time of purchase or at any time after until I inquired to the City in the Fall of 2012. Melanie Curtis then informed me that they were both non-conforming and that a complaint had recently been filed.

We did not install these two fences on OUR property. Originally installed by a previous owners in 1999 and another owner redid the street side fence in 2002.

We will provide pictures and history of our unique circumstances in another attachment named Practical Difficulties Statement.

3852

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

7/14/16, 12:24 PM

Practical Difficulties Statement

In June of 2011 our neighbors, with out permission, painted the side of OUR all natural cedar fence that they viewed. During the same time period they also did the same to our other neighbors fence. For my wife and I this was the start of 4 lawsuits and 5 appeals coming our way. We have spent tens of thousands of dollars of personal monies and hundreds of hours defending our property rights in dealing with the many disturbances that our neighbors have caused us. Much much more money has been spent by our insurance company in defending our rights in two of those suits brought against us by our neighbors. Our property has been trespassed upon and damaged. The Courts have granted us favorable verdicts in every one of these lawsuits. There has been restitution paid for the damage(s) caused. In regards specifically to the fence painting law suit we have been given authority by the Court to repair our fence as well as access to neighbors property in the repair of the fence. Law enforcement is authorized to assist in enforcing that order if necessary.

But, to this very day OUR property is still being trespassed upon. Our neighbor continues to swear at us while we are on our property and has given both my wife and I the finger whenever feeling the need. A detrimental nuisance has been created and we seek the cities understanding and help in this matter.

Our asking for variances only apply to the height of the two fences.

FENCE 1 – Side property fence between the properties we are asking that for the first 30 feet from the street where 42" is the guideline that we be allowed to START the 'new' fence build at the street to start at its current existing 46" wood slat height for the first (approx) 8ft section. The second 8ft section then to be stepped up to 54". Third section to 62" and the fourth section to continue for the rest of its length at 72" up to the 75' lake shore set back. This wood slat transition from 46 to 54 to 62 and finally 72 would visually offer a comfortable viewing path.

This new fence will NOT have any visual impairment impact and will NOT alter the essential character of the locality as it sits right in front of our

RECEIVED

3852

JUL 182016

7/14/16, 12:47 PM

existing 10-11 foot arborvitae hedge.

If possible we would ask that we could be granted permission to extend the fence further down the property line and into the 0-75 foot lake shore set back area about 3 to 4 additional feet. This will then end approximately close to our neighbors illegal shed which is located 15 inches from the property line. That would put the end of the fence at about the 71 foot mark of the lake shore set back.

FENCE 2 – Road Side Picket Fence: 95% of these wood slats are 52" in height. Our ask for a variance here is to only keep this fence as it currently is and have be a matter of record as such. It does not have any visual impairment impact to anyone and does not alter the essential character of the locality. It also sits in front of a 10–12 foot arborvitae hedge. This picket fence is 23" to 30" from Rest Point Road and helps supply additional privacy that we require.

We also ask that due to the unique circumstances surrounding this matter that any fees be waived for these variances.

3852

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

7/14/16, 12:47 PM



3852

Pictures for Variance

1) 1077.jpg Picture of OUR natural cedar fence painted white by our neighbor. Across the street is what our fence a similar style of fence in its natural state.

2) 1079.jpg Closer view of neighbor across the streets fence. Granted a variance for their 6 foot fence this is 1996.

3) 0958.jpg First coating of unauthorized painting and trespass to our fence.

4) 1049.jpg Directly across the street from our driveway our neighbors fence that was granted a variance.

5) 1047.jpg Direct uphill neighbor fence. Owners of three homes used the same fence installing company.

6) 0986.jpg Direct uphill neighbor's new replacement fence just completed. Same style of fence that we would like to use.

7) 1051.jpg Our white picket fence that we would leave as is.

8) 1594.jpg Property line marker showing past trespassing and back of neighbors illegal shed. 8 foot setback of neighbors home.

9) 1598.jpg Property line marker showing front of shed that is 15 inches from the property line.

10) 1678.jpg Shows end of existing fence and posted no trespass keep out sign.

11) 1019.jpg Is side view of our direct neighbors fence across the street. Part of his fence is in the 0-75 ft lake shore set back area.

12) 1020.jpg Is side view of fence at last property at end of Rest Point Road. Part of this fence is in the 0-75 ft lake shore set back area.

RECEIVED

JUI 1 8 2016 7/15/16, 10:16 AM

CITY OF ORONO

1 of 2

13) 1023.jpg STYLE (LOOK) OF FENCE (LAP) that we will construct.

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO

7/15/16, 10:16 AM





RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO



2 of 13



RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO

7/15/16,8:33 * M





RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

7/15/16,8:33 AM

Ï.



3852 CITY OF ORONO



RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

7/15/16,8:2° * M

CITY OF ORONO



5 of 13



RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

CITY OF ORONO

7/15/16,8:33 AM





RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016





- 100_1500.00

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016





08/23/2014 13:25 CITY OF ORONO ID

7/15/16, 8:33 AM

RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016



RECEIVED JUL 1 8 2016 7/15/16 CITY OF ORONO





RECEIVED

JUL 1 8 2016

7/15/16.

M

A

11 of 13

3852



JUL 1 8 2016

ġ

CITY OF ORONO

7/15/16,8

M



City of Orono JUL 18 2016 Pre-Application Meeting Form Y OF ORONO

(This form is to be completed by a City Planner during your pre-application meeting.)

For Office Use Only: City Planner: <u>Seven</u> PC Date:	7 Barnhalt Meeting Date/Time: Met with: Peler Canpuler
FC Date	
Pre-application meeting	f a pre-application meeting? gs aid the applicant in preparing a complete proposal, inform them of the ments of the city code, and identify policies or regulations that create opportunities posal.
PROPERTY INFORMA Site Address: Property Identification N Zoning District:	1320 Reat Bint Road
	□ Side Yard Setback □ Rear Yard Setback □ Lake/Front Yard Setback
Applicant's Initials: Owner's Initials:	PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES: Owner and/or Applicant has received the Practical Difficulties Documentation Form, understands it as it has been explained to them, and is aware that it must be completed and submitted in conjunction with their formal variance application.
Applicant's Initials: Owner's	BILLS AND ESCROW: Owner and/or Applicant shall pay for consultant expenses incurred in review of this application and/or additional staff time not covered in initial application fee, as well as provide an escrow in the amount of
Initials:	to guarantee payment of the above.
OTHER INFORMATIO	
Variances	
YIG IGIG	

*Please note: Your variant which this form will be con	nce application will NOT be acception pleted by City staff	bted without a pre-application meeting during
Applicant Signature:	Kite for the for	Date: 7.15.16
Owner Signature:	fit H high	Date: 7.15.16

LAND USE APPLICATION ESCROW AGREEMENT

Application #____-

	EMENT made this			by	and	between	the	CITY	OF
[a corporation	- optional] ("Owners").	 <u>Recitals</u>							
1. review plans fo	Owners have filed Zor	 · · · · · ·			forma	ally reques	sting	the Cit	y to
	property addressed: roperty") legally describ		· · · ·						

2. Owners request the City to review said plans which requires City approval and may require consulting legal and/or engineering review.

3. The City is willing to commence its review of the application and incur costs associated with said review only if the Owners establish an escrow to ensure reimbursement to the City of its costs.

NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. **DEPOSIT OF ESCROW FUNDS.** Contemporaneously with the execution of this Escrow Agreement, the Owners shall deposit \$______ with the City. All accrued interest, if any, shall be paid to the City to reimburse the City for its cost in administering the escrow account.

2. PURPOSE OF ESCROW. The purpose of the escrow is to guarantee reimbursement to the City for all out-of-pocket costs the City has incurred (including planning, engineering, or legal consultant review) or will incur in meeting with the Owners, reviewing the plans, and preparing agenda packet material for City Council review of application #______. Eligible expenses shall be consistent with expenses the Owners would be responsible for under a land use application.

3. **MONTHLY BILLING.** As the City receives consultant bills for incurred costs, the City will in turn send a bill to the Owners. Owners shall be responsible for payment to the City within 30 days of the Owners' receipt of bill.

4. DISBURSEMENT FROM ESCROW ACCOUNT. In the event that the Owners do not make payment to the City within the timeframe outlined in #3 above, shall cease all reviews until the Owners pay all expenses invoiced pursuant to #3. The City may draw from the escrow account without further approval of the Owners to reimburse the City for eligible expenses the City has incurred.

5. CLOSING ESCROW. The Balance on deposit in the escrow, if any, shall be returned to the Owners when all requirements related to the project are complete. City Staff shall review the terms of this escrow agreement two times per year to determine whether the requirements of the project have been successfully completed and whether it is appropriate to return the funds. Owner may also request the release of the funds, and such funds shall be released upon City Staff receiving the appropriate verification that all requirements of the project have been successfully completed.

6. CERTIFY UNPAID CHARGES. If the project is abandoned by Owners, or if the eligible expenses incurred by the City exceed the amount in escrow, the City shall have the right to certify the unpaid balance to the subject property pursuant to Minn. Stat. §§ 415.01 and 366.012.

CITY: CITY OF ORC	ONO	OWNERS:					
Ву:	<u></u>	Ву:					
lts:		lts:					
Internal Use Only:	C Original to Finance Department	Copy to Zoning File	Copy to Street File				