

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	Doc Type. Complance and Emorcement				
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:				
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days					
System Status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/21/2020					
	mpliant – Notice of Noncompliance grade Requirements on page 3)				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)					
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat t	to public health and safety				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent th	reat to public health and safety				
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwa					
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pr					
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect grounds					
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	#5) – Noncompliant				
WARRY SELECTION AND SELECTION	ge: 0711723220012 for inspection: Property Transfer phone: 612-803-9445				
or					
	Representative phone:				
	Regulatory authority phone: 952-249-4600				
Brief system description: 2-1300 gallon septic tanks,1-1300 gallon lift station and 50 Comments or recommendations:	00 square feet of mound rockbed.				
TBM: Top of the basement door threshold. There is 2.0 feet of sand under the rock be	ad				
The septic tanks are starting to corrode. See the tank report.	su.				
and the same and the same and the same report.					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	compliance status of this system. No vn conditions during system construction,				
Inspector name: Joseph J Olson Certificat	tion number:1255				
Business name: Rusty Olson's Soil & Perc. Testing Licer	nse number: 810				
Inspector signature: Pho	one number: 763-498-8779				
Nococcomy on Locally Demoined Att.					
Modul					
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments ☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Forms per ☐ Other information (list):	local ordinance				

System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: "Black soil" above soil dispersal system is System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation: Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation:	erty address: 900 North Shore Drive W.,	Orono, MN	Inspector initials/Date: J. O. 8/21/2020
System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to train title Yes No or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes No dwell or leaching pit. Yes No dwell or leaching pit. Yes No desopool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No desopool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks below their designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes Yes No designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes Yes No designed operating depth. Yes No designed operating depth. Yes Yes No designed operating depth. Yes Ye	Impact on Public Hoalth	E	4 - 6 -
System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile Yes No or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Verification method(s): Seamined construction records compliant if allowed in local ordinence. Sevage tank(s) leak below their designed peth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system Yes No designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Compliance criteria: Verification method(s): Campliant Canpillant (see Comment Sexplanation: Sewage tank(s) leak below their Yes No designed operating depth. For yes No designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. See Comment #3 of 5 Amaintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No designed petage in the protect of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No determined the public health and safety If yes I	impact on Public Health – Con	ipliance component #	F1 Of 5
System discharge sewage to drain tile Yes No or surface waters. System discharge sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cespogo, dryvell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant? allowed in local ordinance. Sevage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Searched for seeping in yard/backup Excessive ponding in soil system/cyse Comments/Explanation Profeded tank(s) Comments/Explanation Profeded tank(s) Comments/Explanation Examined empty (pumped) tank(s) Comments/Explanation Examined empty (pumped) tank(s) Comments/Explanation Comments/Explanatio	Compliance criteria:		
System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is system requires "emergency" pumpin by the performed dye test work in the compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Seepage is meeting of the tanks is see report. Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report.		☐ Yes ☐ No	THE PROPERTY OF A CONTROL OF A
Homeowner testimony (See Comment:			
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation:		☐ Yes ☒ No	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explan to Unable to Verification to Unable to Verification to Unable to Verification to Verification to	System cause sewage backup into	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping
Comments/Explanation: Compliance criteria:	Any "yes" answer above indicates	the system is	☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria:		n and Sarety.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.			
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain:	Tank Integrity – Compliance com	ponent #2 of 5	
cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. □ Yes* □ No "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* □ No	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions − Compliance component #3 of 5 Amaintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		☐ Examined construction records
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No *No *No *No *No *No *No *No *No *No			Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No.		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Elmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		
 Blmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.			☐ Onable to Verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
 Blmer J. Peterson pumped the tanks. See report. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	Comments/Explanation:		
 3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	•	report.	
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No. 			
 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No. 	Other Compliance Conditions	– Compliance compo	opent #3 of 5
 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐ No 			
c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No	. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in	nmediately and adversely	
	Explain:		
			determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No
Explain:			

Property address: _ 900 North Shore Drive W., Orono, MN

operty address: 900 North Shore Drive W., Orono, MN				Inspector initials/Date: J. O. 8/21/2020		
. Soil Separation — Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5				
Date of installation: 11/05/1996 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Unkr		Soil	ification method(s): observation does not expire. ervations by two independent	Previous soil	
Compliance criteria: For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	Yes	□No	unless site conditions have been a requirements differ. □ Conducted soil observation(s) □ Two previous verifications (Atta		altered or local (Attach boring logs) tach boring logs) no drainfield)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes	□ No		Unable to verify (See Comment Other (See Comments/Explanati	1.50	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Tes	□ NO	Con	nments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV	☐ Yes	☐ No	Indi	cate depths of elevations	3	
or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				tottom of distribution media	94.7	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C. S	Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	2.7	
Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.	ne syste	em is	*Ma	Required compliance separation* y be reduced up to 15 percentilinance.	2.55 if allowed by Local	
Operating Permit and Nitrogen BI	MP* – C	ompliance c	ompo	nent #5 of 5 🔀 Not ap	plicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating Pern	nit?	☐ Yes ☐	No	lf "yes", A below is required	1	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	P?	☐ Yes ☐	No	lf "yes", B below is required	ı	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specific	ed in the s	system design	7			
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does no	ot ne	ed to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:			1/2			
	een met?)		Yes No		

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Tank Report

Date: August 12, 2020

Elmer J. Peterson Co. 5921 Dague Ave. Delano, MN 55328 Phone 763-972-2420 Fax 763-972-7217 MPCA License# 219

Pat Pratt 900 North Shore Drive W Orono, MN 55364

Baffles: ON / OF	F
Tank Capacity: 3-13	00 Gallon Tanks
# of Tanks: 3	
Type of Tanks:	Concrete
Gallons Pumped:	3000
Manholes to Grade:	(ES) / NO

Comments:

On August 12, 2020, Elmer J. Peterson Co. pumped tanks. Tanks are starting to corrode by the inlet and outlet pipes. No cracks or water leaking in tanks at the time of pumping.

NOTE: This is only a tank report. This is not a compliance inspection for point of sale nor does it replace a compliance inspection.

Pre	operty address: 900 North Shore Drive V	V	Inspector initials/Date:	8/12/2020
1.	Impact on Public Health - Co	ompliance component	t #1 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☐ No	Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard	/backup in home
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil sys☐ Homeowner testimony (See Company)	tem/D-boxes Comments/Explanation)
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispers☐ System requires "emergency"	sal system
	Any "yes" answer above indicate an Imminent Threat to Public Hea	s the system is olth and Safety.	☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Commen ☐ Other methods not listed (See	ts/Explanation)
2.	Comments/Explanation: Tank Integrity – Compliance cor	mponent #2 of 5		
	Compliance criteria:		Vorification mathed(s)	
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Verification method(s): ☐ Probed tank(s) bottom	
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined construction record ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form	(Attach)
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Observed liquid level below op Examined empty (pumped) tai	nks(s)
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "bla	
	Any "yes" answer above indica system is Failing to Protect Gr	ates the oundwater.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comment☐ Other methods not listed (See Comment☐ Other methods not liste	
3.	Comments/Explanation: On 8/12/2020, Elmer J. Peterson Co. pu Other Compliance Conditions			es.
			r appear to structurally unsound. Yes*	□ No □ Unknown
	 Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to ir *System is an imminent threat to put 	nmediately and adverse	ly impact public health or safety. Yes*	□ No □ Unknown
	c. System is non-protective of ground wat *System is failing to protect groundv Explain:	er for other conditions as vater	s determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☐	No

Soil Observation Log

		Owne	r Information			
Property Owner / project:	Patricia	Pratt			Date	8/20/2020
Property Address / PID:	900 Nor	th Shore Drive	W			
		Soil Sur	vey Informati	ion	☐ refer to attac	hed soil survey
Parent matl's:	☑ Till	☐ Outwash	☐ Lacustrine	☐ Alluvium	☐ Organic	☐ Bedrock
landscape position:	☐ Summit	☐ Shoulder	☑ Side slo	ope \square	Toe slope	
	L40B		slope	%	direction- Line	

			Soil Lo	5 11 1			
	☑ Boring	☐ Pit	Elevation	92.5	Depth to SHWT	24	Inches
Depth (in)	Texture	fragment %	matrix color	redox color	consistence	grade	shape
0-10	Fill	<35			Loose	Loose	Single grain
10-20	Topsoil	<35	10yr3/1		Friable	Moderate	Blocky
20-24	Loam	<35	10yr4/3		Friable	Strong	Blocky
24-30	Clay Loam	<35	10yr5/3	10y4/8,1-6/10y	Firm	Strong	Blocky
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular block prismatic plat massive

Comments:

900 North	Shore Drive W		Se	oil Log #2			
	✓ Boring	☐ Pit	Elevation	91.5	Depth to SHWT	12	Inches
Depth (in)	Texture	fragment %	matrix color	redox color	consistence	grade	shape
0-12	Topsoil	<35	10yr3/1		Friable	Moderate	Blocky
12-18	Topsoil	<35	10yr3/2	10y4/8	Friable	Moderate	Blocky
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
000 N. 4	al D' W	<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
900 North	Shore Drive W		Se	oil Log #3			
	✓ Boring	☐ Pit	Elevation		Depth to SHWT	-	_
Depth (in)	Texture	fragment %	matrix color	redox color	consistence	grade	shape
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive
		<35 35 - 50 >50			loose friable firm rigid	loose weak moderate strong	single grain granular blocky prismatic platy massive

I hereby certify this work was completed in accordance with MN 7080 and any local req's.

	Rusty Olson's Soil & Perc	810
Designer Signature	Company	License #

900 North Shore Dr. W.

	ANT SITE SAME
(well) A B 7 6 6 C	ORIGINAL LERADE 95.5
	T, 12 45' 12'9" OUT 50'2" 19'4" T2 12 51'1" 20'5" OUT 56'7" 27'4" T3 12 57'9" 28'8"
	OUT (23'3" 35'5"

Future Site